The State Hospital Equality Impact Assessment (EQIA)

You should read the guidance document prior to completing this template.

Please note, the EQIA should be proportionate to the significance and coverage of the policy and should take cognisance of the nature of the environment and patient group.

Step 1: Screening to determine if the policy requires a detailed EQIA

Name of the policy: Media Policy						
Directorate: Chief Executive	Date: 10/03/22					
Designation(s) of author(s): Head of Communications						
Strategy Policy Protocol	Project / *Other					
(*please provide details)						
New update to existing policy	*replacement					
(*please advise what this policy is replacing)						
1. What are the main aims and outcome with the wider aims of the organisation						
Aim(s) / Outcome(s)	Wider Aim(s)					
Main Purpose To ensure:	Supports local and national strategic objectives, values and behaviours, best practice and legal obligations.					
A structured approach for handling media enquiries is established across	Intended Outcomes					
the Hospital, and that all staff and volunteers are aware of the procedure to follow should they receive a call from the media.	As far as possible, safeguard stakeholder confidentiality, enable more accurate and balanced reporting by the media, and enhance media					
• Staff and volunteers are familiar with social media best practice and aware	relations to better develop and protect the Hospital's reputation.					
of individual responsibilities in respect of protecting the privacy and safety of all stakeholders.	The avoidance of a breach of policy that could result in disciplinary action being taken.					
• Procedures are in place to minimise the risks that may arise from the use of social media, both during work time and non-work time.	In terms of social media, prevent identity theft and cyber bullying.					

 State Hospital spokespect Directors who have recein training, are supported ef Advice and guidance is p patient visitors / carers. 	ved media fectively.			
2. Please identify the scop	e of the po	licy		
Forensic Network wide 🗌 Hospital wide 🔀 Service specific 🗌				
Discipline specific *C	Discipline specific *Other			
(*please provide details)				
3. Who are the key stakeholders potentially affected by the policy? Will the policy impact on these groups in a positive and/or negative and/or neutral way? Why do you say this?				
Stakeholder(s)	Impact	Rationale		
1. Staff plus non- employed individuals that are contractually or otherwise obligated to follow State Hospital policy, procedure, protocol and guidance, e.g. Volunteers, Chaplaincy Team, Advocacy, Pharmacy, Occupational Health, Social Work and Non- Executive Directors.	Positive	When receiving and / or dealing with media enquiries these stakeholders will have the necessary guidance to ensure they respond appropriately and know what to do: in the event of receiving a media enquiry; dealing with a patient wishing to contact the media; and publishing their work in magazines and journals etc. Stakeholders will be better skilled to best protect themselves as individuals and the Hospital as a whole, through the guidance outlined in the policy. Fosters good relations between stakeholders.		
	Negative	Potential negative impact associated with information shared in a way in which all stakeholders are unable to equally access, e.g. differing levels of access to computers across staff groups.		

2. Patients	Positive	Through dealing / managing the media effectively, e.g. In recognising the high profile of patients and the historic over- sensationalised, controversial media coverage often featured, it is essential the media are dealt with efficiently, consistently and effectively and that the State Hospital establishes a positive relationship with the media which supports accurate, fair and balanced reporting.
		This policy also fosters good relations between patients with significant mental health issues and the media / public in terms of attempting to redress the stigma issues which arise from inaccurate / misrepresented media reporting.
	Negative	Potential negative impact associated with information shared in a way in which all stakeholders are unable to equally access, e.g. patients are unable to access the Intranet, Website, or use social media.
3. Patient Visitors / Carers Additionally, although the organisation is unable to hold patient visitors / carers accountable for any breach to this policy, the contents aim to include this group, recognised as stakeholders in terms of service delivery.	Positive	The application of the policy has a positive impact through dealing / managing the media effectively. Stakeholders will be better skilled to best protect themselves as individuals and the Hospital as a whole, through the guidance outlined in the policy. Fosters good relations between stakeholders.
4. The Board	Positive	The policy offers a positive impact in terms of protecting the Hospital's reputation as media coverage is monitored locally by way of scanning coverage for misinformation or anything else that could be damaging to its reputation. Where coverage is felt to be unjustified, where untruths have been printed or facts interpreted wrongly, these are rebutted.

	1	T
		Stakeholders will be better skilled to best protect themselves as individuals and the Hospital as a whole, through the guidance outlined in the policy.
		Fosters good relations between stakeholders.
	Negative	Potential negative impact associated with information shared in a way in which all stakeholders are unable to equally access, e.g. infrequent access to the Intranet.
5. General Public	Positive	The content of this policy also takes into account the interests of the public in terms of limiting 'sensationalistic' reporting which may cause alarm within the local community and distress for victims of crimes related to patients within the Hospital.
		Stakeholders will be better skilled to best protect themselves as individuals and the Hospital as a whole, through the guidance outlined in the policy.
		Fosters good relations between stakeholders.
	Negative	Potential negative impact associated with information shared in a way in which all stakeholders are unable to equally access, e.g. stakeholders who first language is not English.
4 Have external partners /	other relev	ant stakeholders contributed to this

4. Have external partners / other relevant stakeholders contributed to this assessment? *No

* If not, please provide rationale

There was contribution to the initial policy development. Due to minimal changes, there is no requirement to engage with external stakeholders as part of this review. Policy continues to be in line with best practice in communications.

5. Specifically, in relation to the protected characteristics, please identify whether the policy impacts positively, negatively and / or neutrally on these groups, providing rationale in support of your decision. Please also describe any identified inequality and indicate practice in place which mitigates aspects of the policy contributing to any adverse impact or inequality.

Protected Characteristic	Positive X	Adverse/ Negative X	Neutral X	Identified impact / inequality and rationale, including mitigating practice where appropriate
Age			Х	
Disability	Х			The policy gives consideration to patients with mental health issues, cognitive impairment and intellectual disabilities.
	Х			Visually impaired stakeholders who are unable to read written material. Publications are available in large print / Braille.
		Х		Ability to comprehend this information for those with communication barriers, e.g. intellectual disability. Publications are produced in Plain English, avoiding the use of abbreviations and jargon, and are checked for 'accessibility'.
	Х			A patient may be denied the opportunity to communicate with the media if the Clinical Team feels such action would be detrimental to his mental health / that of others and / or be a risk to safety and security.
		Х		Patients are unable to equally access online media coverage as this is restricted due to not having Internet access.
Gender			Х	
Gender Reassignment			Х	

Marriage/Civil Partnership			Х	
Pregnancy and Maternity			Х	
Race/Ethnicity	Х			Highlights the need to take into account translation services, including cultural needs, e.g. processes are in place to enable access to interpreter / translation services to ensure equal access to information.
	Х			Admission screening for all patients includes literacy / language comprehension assessment.
		X		Ability to comprehend protocols / process for those with language / communication barriers e.g. Intellectual Disability, those for whom English is not their first language. The nature of such input in terms of potential security risk regarding subject content would be subject to additional scrutiny.
	Х			Processes are in place to mitigate the impact of such potential inequality e.g. accessible information, translation / interpretation services.
	x			Volunteers have individual development plans in place which inform preferred communication means in terms of meeting individual need to ensure compliance with Hospital policies.
	Х			The Person Centred Improvement Advisor meets with new patient visitors / carers to identify any specific communication needs as part of the orientation process to the Hospital environment.

Religion and or Belief			Х	
Sexual Orientation			Х	
explain how the unlawful discrir	policy sup nination, h llity of opp	pports the opports the opports the opports the opport of t	organisati and victin	ve identified in no. 3, please on to eliminate any potential nisation of these groups, g good relationships between
protect the confid Supports the org Protects, all stak Demonstrates a	dentiality of anisation to eholders fro	all stakehol deal with p om potential	ders. otential dis harassme	policies, all of which aim to scriminatory reporting. ent through best practice. eds of protected characteristic
aroups				
identify potentia	al inequalit	ties of outc	ome whic	ve identified in no. 3, please h may arise in relation to cluding material deprivation.

Please discuss with the Service Lead / Director and complete below to indicate this person is in agreement with your findings.

Service Lead / Director Designation:

Chief Executive

Date: 14/03/22

Г

Then forward this screening document and your policy document to the Person Centred Improvement Lead for approval.

Approved by Person Centred Improvement Lead	Da	t e: 31	/3/2022
Comments: No requirement for detailed EQIA.			
Detailed EQIA required. Please contact Person Centre for advice / support	d Improv	emen	t Lead
	Date:	1	1

Following consultation, this EQIA should be attached to the policy document and included within the paperwork for consideration by the Policy Approval Group (PAG) prior to implementation. If any changes to the EQIA are required as a result of feedback from the consultation, please contact the Person Centred Improvement Lead.

Please note, as policy and EQIA documents are within the public domain, via the Hospital's website (unless identified by the PAG as sensitive information), content should not include the names of any stakeholders and/or include any information which would identify individuals.